

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/985,673	11/05/2001	Hartley Moyes	6240.241	4016
75	90 07/12/2006		EXAM	INER
Joseph W. Berenato, III			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
Liniak, Berenate	o, Longacre & White, LL	.C		·
Suite 240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6550 Rock Spring Drive			3635	
Bethesda, MD 20817  DATE M		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/985,673	MOYES, HARTLEY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and the period for reply will be an	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	5/2/2006.		
, <del></del>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-20 and 23-31 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-20 and 23-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 23-31 is/are pending in solution and application Papers	ndrawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.  miner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2001</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		D (DTO 442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/985,673

Art Unit: 3635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 5/2/2006.

Claims 18-20, and 25-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch (US 5,766,774).

In regard claims 18, 19, Lynch teaches molded hollow door core comprising a door frame 20, first, second door skins 11, 11a, are attached to the door frame 20 so as to define a hollow core area 59 therebetween, at least one of said skins being a molded wood door skin (see Col. 1, lines 32-34, and 50-51), said one molded door skin having molded therein a plurality of panels 14, 15, (Figure 1) or 41-46 (Figure 2). Lynch does not specifically teach the molded skin door has bond strength of at least about 2.0 and 2.5N/mm2, respectively. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed bond strength. Having a specific range of bond strength for the door skins would have been an obvious engineering design choice to provide appropriate bond strength for door skin thus preventing the skins from separating from the frame.

In regard claims 20, 25, Lynch teaches the basic structural elements as stated and further teaches (Col. 2, lines 16-18) wherein each of said first and second door skins is a molded door skin formed by pressing a mat is then transferred to a press under heat and pressure to form the wood composite. Lynch does not teach expressly the molded door skin having density of at least about 550kg/m3 or has a substantially constant density. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed skin density;

Application/Control Number: 09/985,673

Art Unit: 3635

therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the skin density such specified in these claims. Having a specific range of density for door skins would have been an obvious engineering design choice to provide a hardness for door skins so providing stronger door structures Further, since the claim is set forth an apparatus of the hollow core door, and the citation "a molded door skin formed by pressing a loose bat or mat into a wood composite flat door blank having a density of at least about 550kg/m3, and thereafter moisturizing, heating, and reforming in a press said flat door blank into a molded door skin..." is considered a method of forming a device and is not germane to the issue of patentability of the skin. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

In regard claim 26, Lynch teaches the basic structural elements for the claimed invention as stated, wherein further said one molded door skin has an outer planar portion, an inner planar portion, and a contoured portion 12/12a between and integral with said outer and inner planar portion (Fig. 3).

In regard claim 27, Lynch teaches the basic structural elements for the claimed invention as stated, wherein further said outer planer portion lies on a plane that is coplanar with the plane of said inner planer portion (see Figs. 1-2).

In regard claim 28, Lynch teaches the basic structural elements for the claimed invention as stated and further said contoured portion includes an angled offset portion 31-36 (Fig. 2) or 18-19 (Figure 1).

In regard claim 29, Lynch teaches the basic structural elements for the claimed invention as stated and further said contoured portion has a thickness differing from the thickness of said outer an inner planar portions.

Claims 23, 24, and 30-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch (US 5,766,774) in view of Aufderhaar (US 5,219,634).

Lynch teaches the basic structural elements for the claimed invention as stated. However, Lynch does not specifically teach wherein said one molded door skin has an exteriorly disposed side having a moisture impervious barrier thereon and the moisture impervious barrier is selected from the group consisting of melamine impregnated crepe paper, phenolic resin crepe paper, and cross-lined polymer resin, and wherein said one molded door skin has an exteriorly disposed side having a pigmented sealer provides a uniform colored surface. Aufderhaar teaches a well-known prior art molded door assembly including an overlaid laminate of phenolic resin-impregnated paper (Col. 1, lines 21-23). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to combine Lynch with Aufderhaar for well-known door skin laminated of phenolic resin-impregnated paper. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide weather resistant to door panels and also enhance more pleasing to the door surfaces.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-24 and 30-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/985,673 Page 5

Art Unit: 3635

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard applicant argued that Lynch does not teach a molded door skin having bond strength of at least 2.0N/mm2 (or preferably a bond strength of at least about 2.5N/mm2). Although the prior arts do not teach the specific range of bonding strength; however, as set forth above, the applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed boned strength, therefore it would have been obvious of a design choice to use the bonded strength range such specified in the claims. Further, the applicant's disclosure, pages 8-9 (as preferred by the applicant's remarks) expressly stated that "preferably a bond strength of at least about 2.5N/mm2" would made a better bonding strength than the prior art, Masonite Corporation (1.4N/mm2). At the time of the invention was made, one having an ordinary skill in the art would obviously made a stronger bonding (greater than 1.4N/mm2) by providing a stronger bonding material to achieve a desirable result, 2.0-2.5N/mm2, etc. In regard applicant's newly added limitation "reformed" would have been considered as a method of forming a device and is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Application/Control Number: 09/985,673 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pairdirect.uspto.gov">http://pairdirect.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

7/7/2006

CQN

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner **Group 3600**